1. Research Question

Usually, the focus of social media research in the realms of election studies is to relate candidate's and political parties' online activities to electoral success. We would like to turn the tables and look at this relationship from a different perspective: How do expectations about one's chances for electoral success affect one's social media activities? Do candidates whose electoral chances to be elected are close to 50% - whose "stress level" is therefore high - use social media during the campaign in a more emotional way?

2. Data & Methods

The dataset used for this study is a composite of candidate activities in social media (Facebook, Twitter, Wikipedia), official electoral success statistics as well as survey data. We are testing our hypothesis for the highly contested 2015 national elections in the Canton of Zurich where 837 candidates were competing for 35 seats in Parliament (Fig.1). It was the first time in Swiss electoral history social media played a more active role during the campaign (Fig.5).

- To estimate electoral success, detailed party list statistics including panachage votes, historical data as well as forecasts are taken into account. If one’s party won 7 seats at the previous elections and the polls forecast 1 additional seat, candidates listed from 1 to 8 on this party list have a reasonable chance of succeeding at the upcoming elections.
- We have developed a python-based web crawler to extract articles about Swiss politicians from the daily online newspaper "20min.ch", checking for scandal mentions.
- To detect emotions, we performed sentiment analysis on candidates' social media messages using SentimentStrength, a state-of-the-art lexicon-based classifier. We can distinguish between different classes of emotions: positive, valence, negative valence and emotionality.
- For data analysis we take a two-step approach. We first estimate chances of candidates to get elected (Fig. 2).
- Because of the nature of our dependent variable (to be elected) is actually a very rare event and we used penalized likelihood estimation. We then relate this estimate to the respective scores for emotionality in social media (Fig. 3 and 4).

3. Candidate’s Stress Level

We measure the individual election candidate’s stress level by a composite score expressing uncertainty of electoral success. Whereas some factors operate on the party level (p) others are tied to the individual candidates (i) or a combination thereof:

- Individualized party list success expectation [p,i]
- Achievement of party in last elections [p]
- Forecast for a party in polls [p]
- Incumbency [(i) usually the most important predictor]
- Gender and age (sociodemographic control variables) [(i)]
- Running as candidate for the second chamber, the Council of States (maximizing public recognition) [(i)]
- Scandal mentions in press outlets (public damage) [(i)]
- Wikipedia search counts (name recognition) [(i)]

We assume stress level is highest for those candidates with electoral chances around 50% and lowest for those who have either a very low or a very high chance to get (re-)elected.

4. Conclusions

- We are investigating into the driving forces of online community activities of politicians - an aspect which has received little attention in the literature.
- We introduce innovative empirical measures for expected chances of electoral success and social media activities.
- Results point to the hypothesised direction: candidates with electoral chances around 0.5 show higher emotionality scores in online social media.
- Due to the relatively low number of cases and the narrow geographical scope results have to be interpreted with a grain of salt and should not be generalised.
- However, our study represents a promising starting point for further research on the relationship between social media activities, political polarization and electoral success.
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